arXiv:math/0204271v2 [math.DG] 1 May 2002

Higher K-energy functionals and higher Futaki invariants

Ben Weinkove

Department of Mathematics
Columbia University, New York, NY 10027

1. Introduction

In 1986, Mabuchi [11] introduced the K-energy functional, which integrates the Futaki invariant [8] and whose critical points are metrics of constant scalar curvature. It was used by Bando and Mabuchi [2] to prove a uniqueness theorem for Kähler-Einstein metrics. The K-energy is strongly related to notions of stability in Geometric Invariant Theory and has been used by Tian [13, 14, 16] and Phong and Sturm [12], to give some results on the conjecture of Yau [17, 18] on the relationship between stability and the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics. Tian [15, 16] has cast both the K-energy and the Futaki invariant in a more general setting using Bott-Chern forms and Donaldson functionals.

Higher K-energy functionals were defined by Bando and Mabuchi [3] and generalize the K-energy map to higher Chern classes of the manifold. They integrate higher Futaki invariants (see [3], [1], [9], [10]). This note presents these functionals with an emphasis on Bott-Chern forms. Two new formulas for the higher K-energy are given and the second K-energy is shown to be related to Donaldson's Lagrangian applied to metrics on the tangent bundle.

Let M be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n with Kähler metric $g_{i\overline{j}}$. Let $\omega = \sqrt{-1}g_{i\overline{j}}\, dz^i \wedge dz^{\overline{j}}$ be the corresponding Kähler form. Define

$$P(M,\omega) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(M) \,|\, g_{i\overline{j}} + \partial_i \partial_{\overline{j}} \phi > 0\}.$$

For ϕ in $P(M,\omega)$, let $\{\phi_t\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ be a smooth path in $P(M,\omega)$ with $\phi_0 = 0$, $\phi_1 = \phi$. Write ω_t for $\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_t$. Now define the *kth K-energy functional* by

$$M_{k,\omega}(\phi) = -(n-k+1)\int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_t (c_k(\phi_t) - \mu_k \omega_t^k) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} dt,$$

where $c_k(\phi_t)$ (also written $c_k(\omega_t)$) is the representative of the kth Chern class of M given by the metric ω_t, and μ_k is the number given by

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{V}\int_M c_k(\omega)\wedge\omega^{n-k}, \quad \text{where} \quad V = \int_M \omega^n.$$

The case $k = 1$ corresponds to the original K-energy as defined in [11].

Theorems 1 and 2 below were proved by Bando and Mabuchi [3] (also see [9] for a proof of Theorem 1). We present an alternative derivation using Bott-Chern forms, leading to new formulas for the higher K-energy (Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2) as well as Theorem 3 below, which gives the second K-energy in terms of Donaldson's Lagrangian.

Theorem 1. $M_{k,\omega}(\phi)$ is independent of the choice of path $\{\phi_t\}$.

To define the higher Futaki invariants, let $c_k(\omega)$ and $Hc_k(\omega)$ be respectively the kth Chern form and its harmonic part. There is a real smooth $(k-1,k-1)$ form f_k such that

$$c_k(\omega) - Hc_k(\omega) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} f_k.$$

Define the *kth Futaki invariant*, which acts on a holomorphic vector field X, by

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}(X) = \int_M \mathcal{L}_X f_k \wedge \omega^{n-k+1},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_X$ is the Lie derivative. The case $k = 1$ corresponds to the original Futaki invariant if $c_1(M) = \mu_1\omega$, so that $Hc_1(\omega) = \mu_1\omega$. It is shown in [1] (see also [9]) that $\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}$ is independent of choice of metric in the Kähler class.

Now given a holomorphic vector field X, let Φ_t be the integral curve of $X_R = X + \overline{X}$. Then $\Phi_t : M \to M$ is holomorphic, and there exists a smooth path $\{\phi_t\}$ in $P(M,\omega)$ satisfying

$$\Phi_t^*\omega = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_t, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \phi_t\omega^n = 0.$$

Then the higher K-energy integrates the Futaki invariant in the following sense.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $c_k(M) = \mu_k[\omega^k]$. Then with $\{\phi_t\}$ as above,

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{k,\omega}(\phi_t) = 2\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}(X)).$$

Donaldson [6, 7] defined a Lagrangian which was used in his proof that Mumford-Takemoto stable vector bundles over projective algebraic varieties admit Hermitian-Einstein metrics. It is defined in terms of the Bott-Chern forms corresponding to $\mathrm{Tr}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(AB)$. It is unsurprising then that this Lagrangian is related to the first and second K-energy functionals, which correspond to the first and second Chern classes.

Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r over M, and let H and H_0 be Hermitian metrics on E. Let $\{H_t\}_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ be a smooth path of metrics between H_0 and $H_1 = H$. Let F_t be the curvature of H_t. Then Donaldson's Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &L(H, H_0) \\ &\quad = \int_M \int_0^1 n\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{H}_t H_t^{-1} F_t) \wedge \omega^{n-1} dt - \lambda \int_M \int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr}(\dot{H}_t H_t^{-1})\omega^n dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi n}{r}\frac{1}{V}\int_M c_1(E) \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Now consider the case when $E = T'M$, the holomorphic tangent bundle. Let H_0 be the Kähler metric g, and, for ϕ in $P(M, \omega)$, let H be g_ϕ. Write ω_ϕ for $\omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$ and $L(\phi)$ for $L(g_\phi, g)$. We have the following formula for the second K-energy functional.

Theorem 3. For ϕ in $P(M, \omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{2,\omega}(\phi) = &-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 n} L(\phi) \\ &- \frac{1}{4\pi n}\int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right)(2\mu_1\omega - nc_1(\phi) - nc_1(0)) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &- \int_M \phi\left(c_2(\phi) \wedge \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\omega^i \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-2-i} - \left(\frac{n-1}{n+2}\right)\mu_2 \sum_{i=0}^{n}\omega^i \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

I am very grateful to my advisor, D.H. Phong, for suggesting to look at these functionals, and for his subsequent guidance. I would also like to thank all the members of the Geometry and Analysis community at Columbia University for many enlightening discussions and talks. This paper will form a part of my forthcoming PhD thesis at Columbia University.

2. Chern classes and Bott-Chern forms

Before proving the above theorems, we will briefly discuss Chern classes and their Bott-Chern forms. For more details on Bott-Chern forms, see [4], [6] and [16]. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r over the compact Kähler manifold M. If $H = H_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ is a Hermitian metric on E, then its curvature is an endomorphism-valued (1,1) form given by

$$(F_H)_\alpha^{\ \beta} = (F_H)_{\alpha\ i\overline{j}}^{\ \beta}\, dz^i \wedge dz^{\overline{j}} = \overline{\partial}((\partial H_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}})H^{\overline{\gamma}\beta}).$$

Let Φ be an invariant symmetric k-linear function on $\mathbf{gl}(r, \mathbf{C})$. Then we have a Chern-Weil form

$$\Phi(H) = \Phi(F_H) = \Phi(F_H, \ldots, F_H) \in \Omega_M^{k,k},$$

which represents a characteristic class.

Let H and H_0 be two Hermitian metrics on E, and let $\{H_t\}$ be a smooth path of metrics between them. Then there exists a Bott- Chern form $\mathrm{BC}_\Phi(H, H_0)$ in $\Omega_M^{k-1,k-1}/(\mathrm{Im}\,\partial + \mathrm{Im}\,\overline{\partial})$ given by

$$\mathrm{BC}_\Phi(H, H_0) = -k\sqrt{-1}\int_0^1 \Phi(\dot{H}_t H_t^{-1}, F_{H_t}, \ldots, F_{H_t})dt.$$

It is shown in [4] that this definition is independent of the choice of path. Moreover we have

$$-\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\mathrm{BC}_\Phi(H, H_0) = \Phi(H) - \Phi(H_0),$$

which can be checked by differentiating with respect to some parameter.

We will restrict to the case of Chern classes of holomorphic vector bundles. The Chern-Weil form for the metric H representing the kth Chern class of E is given by

$$c_k(H) = \frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^k \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\, F_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}^{\ \alpha_1} \wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}}^{\ \alpha_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}}^{\ \alpha_k},$$

where $F = F_H$ and the usual summation convention is being used.

The Bott-Chern form for the kth Chern class is then given by

$$\mathrm{BC}_k(H, H_0)$$
$$= -k\sqrt{-1}\int_0^1 \frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^k \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\, (\dot{H}_t H_t^{-1})_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}^{\ \alpha_1} F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}}^{\ \alpha_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}}^{\ \alpha_k}\, dt,$$

where $F = F_{H_t}$. It satisfies

$$c_k(H) - c_k(H_0) = -\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\mathrm{BC}_k(H, H_0).$$

Now consider the case where the vector bundle is $T'M$, the holomorphic tangent bundle of M. Then $g_{i\overline{j}}$, the Kähler metric on M, is a Hermitian metric on $T'M$. Let ϕ be in $P(M,\omega)$. Write g_ϕ for $g_{i\overline{j}} + \partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}\phi$ and $c_k(\phi)$ for $c_k(g_\phi)$. Then, in normal coordinates for g_ϕ, we have

$$c_k(\phi) = \frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^k \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\, F_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}\overline{\alpha}_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k},$$

writing $F_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ for $R_{\alpha\overline{\beta}i\overline{j}}dz^i \wedge dz^{\overline{j}}$, where $R_{\alpha\overline{\beta}i\overline{j}}$ is the curvature tensor for g_ϕ.

3. Higher K-energy functionals

In this section we give an alternative proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{\phi_t\}_{0\le t\le 1}$ be a smooth path in $P(M,\omega)$ with $\phi_0 = \phi_1$, and let λ be any constant. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_t) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&= \int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_t) - c_k(\phi_0) + c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&= \int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(-\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,\phi_0) + c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&= \int_0^1\int_M (-\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t)\wedge\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,\phi_0)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&\quad + \int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&= -\frac{1}{n-k+1}\int_0^1\int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,\phi_0)\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\omega_t^{n-k+1})dt\\
&\quad + \int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt\\
&= \frac{1}{n-k+1}\int_0^1\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,\phi_0)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}dt\\
&\quad + \int_0^1\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda\omega_t^k)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The next two lemmas will show that this expression is zero. The proof of the theorem will then follow immediately.

Lemma 3.1 *With* $\{\phi_t\}$ *as above,*

$$\int_0^1 \int_M \dot{\phi}_t (c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda \omega_t^k) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} dt = 0.$$

Proof The argument is almost identical to one given in [13], but we will include it for the reader's convenience. Define

$$\phi_{t,s} = s\phi_t, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{t,s} = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_{t,s},$$

$$D(s) = \int_0^1 \int_M \frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial t} (c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda \omega_{t,s}^k) \wedge \omega_{t,s}^{n-k} dt.$$

Notice that $D(0) = 0$. We will show that $D'(s) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
D'(s) &= \int_0 \int_M \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{t,s}}{\partial t \partial s} (c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda \omega_{t,s}^k) \wedge \omega_{t,s}^{n-k} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_M \frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial t} ((n-k) c_k(\phi_0) \omega_{t,s}^{n-k-1} - \lambda n \omega_{t,s}^{n-1}) \wedge \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} (\frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial t}) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial s}) (c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda \omega_{t,s}^k) \wedge \omega_{t,s}^{n-k} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \int_M \frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial s} ((n-k) c_k(\phi_0) \omega_{t,s}^{n-k-1} - \lambda n \omega_{t,s}^{n-1}) \wedge \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} (\frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial t}) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \phi_{t,s}}{\partial s} (c_k(\phi_0) - \lambda \omega_{t,s}^k) \wedge \omega_{t,s}^{n-k} \right) dt \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 *With* $\{\phi_t\}$ *as above,*

$$\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t, \phi_0)) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k+1} = 0.$$

Proof Writing g for g_{ϕ_t} we have

$$\dot{g}_{i\overline{j}} g^{\overline{j}k} = (\partial_i \partial_{\overline{j}} \dot{\phi}_t) g^{\overline{j}k}.$$

Hence, using the formula for the Bott-Chern form, and working in normal coordinates,

$$\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t, \phi_0) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k+1}$$

$$= \int_M \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{(k-1)!}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^k \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\partial_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}\partial_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}\overline{\alpha}_2}\wedge\ldots$$
$$\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}$$
$$= \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{(k-1)!}\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\right)^k \int_M \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\nabla_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}\nabla_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}\overline{\alpha}_1}\wedge\ldots$$
$$\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}. \qquad (1)$$

Integrating by parts, using the Bianchi identity, and defining τ to be the transposition in Σ_k which interchanges 1 and 2, we see that one term in the integrand of (1) will be

$$-\sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k}\mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\nabla_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t\nabla_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}\overline{\alpha}_2}\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(3)}\overline{\alpha}_3}\wedge\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}$$
$$= -\sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k}\mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\nabla_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t\nabla_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}}F_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}\overline{\alpha}_2}\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(3)}\overline{\alpha}_3}\wedge\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}$$
$$= -\sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k}\mathrm{sgn}(\pi\tau)\nabla_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t\nabla_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}\overline{\alpha}_2}\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(3)}\overline{\alpha}_3}\wedge\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}$$
$$= \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_k}\mathrm{sgn}(\pi)\nabla_{\overline{\alpha}_1}\dot{\phi}_t\nabla_{\alpha_{\pi(1)}}F_{\alpha_{\pi(2)}\overline{\alpha}_2}\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(3)}\overline{\alpha}_3}\wedge\ldots\wedge F_{\alpha_{\pi(k)}\overline{\alpha}_k}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}$$
$$= 0.$$

Every other term is zero by the same argument, and the lemma is proved.

The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

Remark 3.3 $M_{k,\omega}$ satisfies a cocycle condition. Namely, if ω' is another Kähler metric with $\omega' = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\psi$, then

$$M_{k,\omega}(\phi) - M_{k,\omega'}(\phi-\psi) = M_{k,\omega}(\psi).$$

Remark 3.4 The critical points of $M_{k,\omega}$ are metrics ω with $\Lambda^k c_k(\omega)$ constant. An example of such a metric is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbf{CP}^n$.

4. Higher Futaki invariants

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2. Let X be a holomorphic vector field. Recall that the Lie derivative of X on forms is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_X = i_X\circ d + d\circ i_X.$$

The interior product $i_X\omega$ is a (0,1) form which is $\overline{\partial}$-closed since ω is Kähler. Hence there exists a smooth function θ_X and a harmonic $(0,1)$ form α such that

$$i_X\omega = \alpha - \overline{\partial}\theta_X.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}(X) = -(n-k+1)\sqrt{-1}\int_M \theta_X(c_k(\omega) - Hc_k(\omega)) \wedge \omega^{n-k},$$

where θ_X is as given above.

Proof Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}(X) &= \int_M \mathcal{L}_X f_k \wedge \omega^{n-k+1} \\
&= -\int_M f_k \wedge \mathcal{L}_X\omega^{n-k+1} \\
&= -\int_M f_k \wedge \partial i_X\omega^{n-k+1} \\
&= -(n-k+1)\int_M f_k \wedge \partial(\alpha - \overline{\partial}\theta_X) \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\
&= (n-k+1)\int_M f_k \wedge \partial\overline{\partial}\theta_X \wedge \omega^{n-k} \\
&= -(n-k+1)\sqrt{-1}\int_M \theta_X(c_k(\omega) - Hc_k(\omega)) \wedge \omega^{n-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of the theorem, let Φ_t be the integral curve of X_R as before, and let ϕ_t be given by

$$\Phi_t^*\omega = \omega_t = \omega + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_t, \qquad \int_M \phi_t\omega^n = 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_R}\omega_t = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t.$$

Hence

$$\partial i_X\omega_t + \overline{\partial}i_{\overline{X}}\omega_t = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}(\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t - \overline{\partial}\partial\dot{\phi}_t)$$

and so

$$i_X\omega_t - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t = \alpha_t,$$

where α_t is a (0,1) form satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(\partial\alpha_t) = 0$. It follows from above that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{Re}(\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega_t}(X)) &= -(n-k+1)\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\omega_t) - Hc_k(\omega_t)) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} \\ &= -(n-k+1)\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\omega_t) - \mu_k\omega_t^k) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}M_{k,\omega}(\phi_t). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we must show that $\mathcal{F}_{k,\omega_t}(X) = \mathcal{F}_{k,\omega}(X)$. This is immediate from [1], or alternatively can be seen as follows. Since

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_R}\omega_t = \Phi_t^*\mathcal{L}_{X_R}\omega,$$

we have

$$\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t = \partial\overline{\partial}\Phi_t^*\dot{\phi}_0,$$

and so $\dot{\phi}_t = \Phi_t^*\dot{\phi}_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\omega_t) - \mu_k\omega_t^k) \wedge \omega_t^{n-k} &= \int_M \Phi_t^*\dot{\phi}_0(\Phi_t^*c_k(\omega) - \mu_k\Phi_t^*\omega^k) \wedge \Phi_t^*\omega^{n-k} \\ &= \int_M \dot{\phi}_0(c_k(\omega) - \mu_k\omega^k) \wedge \omega^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Higher K-energy functionals and Donaldson's Lagrangian

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 3 and give two alternative formulas for the higher K-energy functionals. It is pointed out in [15] (see also [5] and [13]) that the K-energy can be written without a path integral as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,\omega}(\phi) &= \int_M \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right)\omega_\phi^n \\ &- \int_M \phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\omega^i \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-1-i} - \frac{\mu_1}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\int_M \phi\omega^i \wedge \omega_\phi^{n-i}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This appears to be both a natural and useful expression for the K-energy (see [12]). Notice that

$$c_1(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\mathrm{Ric}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi}\log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right) = \mathrm{BC}_1(\phi, 0),$$

where to be precise, the above is only a *representative* of $\mathrm{BC}_1(\phi, 0)$. The following lemma generalizes the above formula to the higher K-energy functionals.

Lemma 5.1

$$M_{k,\omega}(\phi) = \int_M BC_k(\phi,0)\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-k+1}$$
$$-\int_M \phi\left(c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-k-i} - \frac{(n-k+1)}{n+1}\mu_k\sum_{i=0}^{n}\omega^i\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-i}\right).$$

Proof For a path $\{\phi_t\}$ in $P(M,\omega)$, calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,0)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1}) &\\ = \int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,0))\wedge\omega_t^{n-k+1} &\\ + (n-k+1)\int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi_t,0)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}\wedge\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t &\\ = -(n-k+1)\int_M \dot{\phi}_t(c_k(\phi_t)-c_k(0))\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}, &\qquad (2)\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.2 for the first term and integrating by parts for the second. Also

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi_t c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i}) &\\ = \int_M \dot{\phi}_t c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i} &\\ + \int_M \phi_t c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}(n-k-i)\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i-1}\wedge\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\dot{\phi}_t &\\ = \int_M \dot{\phi}_t c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i} &\\ + \int_M \dot{\phi}_t c_k(0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}(n-k-i)\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i-1}\wedge(\omega_t-\omega) &\\ = \int_M \dot{\phi}_t c_k(0)\wedge(\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}(n-k-i+1)\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i} &\\ -(n-k-i)\omega^{i+1}\wedge\omega_t^{n-k-i-1}) &\\ = (n-k+1)\int_M \dot{\phi}_t c_k(0)\wedge\omega_t^{n-k}. &\qquad (3)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{(n-k+1)\mu_k}{n+1}\phi_t \sum_{i=0}^{n}\omega^i\wedge\omega_t^{n-i}\right) = (n-k+1)\mu_k\int_M \dot{\phi}_t\omega_t^n. \qquad (4)$$

The lemma follows from (2), (3) and (4).

We will need a slightly different formula for $M_{k,\omega}$.

Corollary 5.2

$$M_{k,\omega}(\phi) = \int_M BC_k(\phi,0)\wedge\omega^{n-k+1}$$
$$-\int_M \phi\left(c_k(\phi)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-k-i} - \frac{(n-k+1)}{n+1}\mu_k\sum_{i=0}^{n}\omega^i\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-i}\right).$$

Proof

$$\int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-k+1}$$
$$= \int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge(\omega+\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)^{n-k+1}$$
$$= \int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k+1}\binom{n-k+1}{i}\omega^i\wedge(\omega_\phi-\omega)^{n-k+1-i}$$
$$= \int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge\omega^{n-k+1}$$
$$+\int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\binom{n-k+1}{i}\omega^i\wedge(\omega_\phi-\omega)^{n-k-i}$$
$$= \int_M \mathrm{BC}_k(\phi,0)\wedge\omega^{n-k+1} - \int_M \phi(c_k(\phi)-c_k(0))\wedge\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\omega^i\wedge\omega_\phi^{n-k-i},$$

using, for the last line, the elementary identity

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+1}{i}x^i(y-x)^{m-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m}x^iy^{m-i},$$

which can be seen by observing that each side is equal to

$$(y^{m+1}-x^{m+1})/(y-x).$$

The corollary now follows immediately from Lemma 5.1.

We now prove Theorem 3. First note that we have

$$\mathrm{BC}_1(\phi,0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1})dt, \qquad \text{and}$$
$$\mathrm{BC}_2(\phi,0) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{(2\pi)^2}\int_0^1 \left(\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1})\mathrm{Tr}(F_t) - \mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} F_t)\right) dt,$$

where F_t is the curvature of g_t. Then using the fact that $\lambda = 2\pi\mu_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi) &= n(2\pi)^2 \int_M \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{(2\pi)^2}\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} F_t)\wedge\omega^{n-1}dt \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^2\mu_1 \int_M \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1})\omega^n dt \\ &= -n(2\pi)^2 \int_M \mathrm{BC}_2(\phi,0)\wedge\omega^{n-1} - (2\pi)^2\mu_1\int_M \mathrm{BC}_1(\phi,0)\omega^n \\ &\quad + n\sqrt{-1}\int_M\int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr}(\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1})\mathrm{Tr}(F_t)\wedge\omega^{n-1}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The last term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & n\sqrt{-1}\int_M\int_0^1 (\triangle_t\dot{\phi}_t)\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_t)\wedge\omega^{n-1}dt \\ &= \frac{n\sqrt{-1}}{2}\int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right)(\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_t)+\mathrm{Ric}(\omega))\wedge\omega^{n-1} \\ &= n\pi\int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_\phi^n}{\omega^n}\right)(c_1(\phi)+c_1(0))\wedge\omega^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where a straightforward integration by parts has been used for the second line. The proof of the theorem now follows from Corollary 5.2 and equations (5) and (6).

References

[1] Bando, S. *An obstruction for Chern class forms to be harmonic*, unpublished.

[2] Bando, S., Mabuchi, T. *Uniqueness of Einstein Kähler metrics modulo connected group actions* in: Oda, T. (ed.) Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985. (Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol 10) Amsterdam: North-Holland & Tokyo: Kinokuniya (1987)

[3] Bando, S., Mabuchi, T. *On some integral invariants on complex manifolds. I*, Proc. Japan Acad., 62 (1986), 197-200

[4] Bott, R. and Chern, S.S. *Hermitian vector bundles and the equidistribution of the zeroes of their holomorphic sections*, Acta Math., 114 (1965), 71-112

[5] Chen, X. X. *On the lower bound of the Mabuchi energy and its application*, Int. Math Res. Notices, 12 (2000), 607-623

[6] Donaldson, S.K. *Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 50 (1985), 1-26

[7] Donaldson, S.K. *Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature*, Duke Math. Journ., 54, No. 1 (1987), 231-247

[8] Futaki, A. *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 437-443

[9] Futaki, A., *Kähler-Einstein metrics and integral invariants*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1988)

[10] Futaki, A., Mabuchi, T. and Sakane, Y. *Einstein-Kähler metrics with positive Ricci curvature*, in Kähler Metrics and Moduli Spaces (Adv. Studies in Pure Math., Vol 18, II) (1990), 11-83

[11] Mabuchi, T. *K-energy maps integrating Futaki invariants*, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 38 (1986), 575-593

[12] Phong, D.H. and Sturm, J. *Stability, energy functionals and Kähler-Einstein metrics*, preprint, mathDG.0203254

[13] Tian, G. *The K-energy on hypersurfaces and stability*, Comm. Anal. and Geom., Vol 2., No. 2 (1994), 239-265

[14] Tian, G. *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive Ricci curvature*, Inv. Math. 130 (1997), 1-39

[15] Tian, G. *Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2000

[16] Tian, G. *Bott-Chern forms and geometric stability*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, 6 (2000), 211-220

[17] Yau, S. T. *Open problems in geometry*, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. 54 (1993), 1-28

[18] Yau, S. T. *Review of Kähler-Einstein metrics in Algebraic Geometry*, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, 9 (1996), 433-443